

Optical and near-infrared linear polarization of low and intermediate-gravity ultra-cool dwarfs

P. A. Miles-Páez,^{1,2,6*} M. R. Zapatero Osorio,³ E. Pallé^{1,2} and K. Peña Ramírez^{4,5}

¹*Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Calle Vía Láctea s/n, 38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain*

²*Dpt. de Astrofísica, Univ. de La Laguna, Avda. Astrofísico Francisco Sánchez s/n, 38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain*

³*Centro de Astrobiología (CSIC-INTA), Carretera de Ajalvir km 4, 28850 Torrejón de Ardoz, Madrid, Spain*

⁴*Unidad de Astronomía, Facultad de Cs. Básicas, Univ. de Antofagasta, Av. U. de Antofagasta 02800, Antofagasta, Chile*

⁵*Millennium Institute of Astrophysics, Santiago, Chile*

⁶*The University of Western Ontario, Department of Physics and Astronomy, 1151 Richmond Avenue, London, ON N6A 3K7, Canada*

ABSTRACT

We aim to study the optical and near-infrared linear polarimetric properties of a sample of young M7-L7 dwarfs (≈ 1 -500 Myr) with spectroscopic signatures of low- and intermediate-gravity atmospheres. We collected optical (RIZ) and near-infrared ($YJHK_s$) linear polarimetry images on various time scales from ~ 0.2 h to months. Linear polarization degrees in the interval 0-1.5% (I - and J -bands) were measured with accuracies ranging from $\pm 0.1\%$ to $\pm 0.9\%$ depending on the observing filter and the target brightness. We found that the young field dwarfs in our sample show similar polarimetric degrees at both I - and J -bands, and that there is no obvious trend with the spectral type. The two Taurus sources in our sample show intense levels of J -band linear polarization probably due to surrounding disks. By compiling data from the literature for high-gravity M7-L7 dwarfs with likely ages ≥ 500 Myr, we did not observe any apparent difference in the linear polarimetry intensity between the young and old samples that could be ascribed to differing atmospheric gravities. Polarimetric variability with peak to peak amplitudes up to 1.5% is detected on scales of about a rotation in two out of four targets that were monitored over several hours. Long-term polarimetric variability is also detected in nearly all dwarfs of the sample with data spanning months to years.

Key words: polarization – brown dwarfs – stars: atmospheres – stars: late-type – stars: low-mass

1 INTRODUCTION

Young L dwarfs with ages below a few hundred Myr have low to moderate surface gravity atmospheres ($\log g \lesssim 4.5$ cm s^{-2} ; Chabrier et al. 2000; Baraffe et al. 2003). This produces some effects on the observed photometric and spectroscopic properties, e.g., likely thick clouds of “dust” leading to very red colors (low atmospheric pressures are believed to retard the precipitation of solid and liquid condensates, Allers et al. 2010), and atomic and molecular spectroscopic features of different intensity as compared to high-gravity dwarfs (e.g., Kirkpatrick et al. 1999; Martín et al. 1999; Lucas et al. 2001; Cruz et al. 2009; Allers & Liu 2013; Bonnefoy et al. 2014).

The presence of dust in the atmospheres may produce linear polarization in the emergent optical and near-infrared fluxes by means of scattering processes

(Sengupta & Krishan 2001). According to available models that take into account the single- and multi-scattering scenario, the net degree of linear polarization depends on how the polarimetric signals from different surface areas cancel each other. In this regard, asymmetries, e.g., a non-spherical shape and/or an heterogeneous distribution of the dust, may lead to levels of linear polarization of $\lesssim 2\%$ at optical and near-infrared wavelengths (Sengupta & Marley 2010; Marley & Sengupta 2011; de Kok et al. 2011). This prediction agrees with the polarimetric measurements in the I -, Z -, J -, and H -filters published by Ménard et al. (2002), Zapatero Osorio et al. (2005), Goldman et al. (2009), Tata et al. (2009), Zapatero Osorio et al. (2011), and Miles-Páez et al. (2013). The latter authors tried to relate the linear polarimetry data with the rotation rate of a limited sample of field late-M and L-type dwarfs, most of which are likely ≥ 500 Myr old and have high spectroscopic rotational velocities ($v \sin i \geq 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). They found typical J -band linear polarization degrees in

* E-mail: ppaez@uwo.ca

the interval $\leq 0.4 - 0.8\%$, and discussed that the fastest rotating dwarfs ($v \sin i \geq 60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) tend to have a larger fraction of positive polarimetric detections and a slightly higher averaged linear polarization degree than the objects with slower rotations. This might be explained by a different level of oblateness induced by the various rotational speeds.

Young brown dwarfs of spectral type late-M and L undergo a rotational spin-up because of gravitational contraction and many show $v \sin i$ of a few to several tens km s^{-1} at intermediate ages (Zapatero Osorio et al. 2006, and references therein). The low superficial gravity combined with a moderate-to-high rotation favor oblate atmospheres containing significant amounts of dust in the upper layers. Under this circumstance and according to the scattering scenario, non-zero linear polarization is thus expected. Here, we present multi-epoch, optical (*RIZ*-band) and near-infrared (*YJHK_s*) imaging linear polarimetry of a sample of 14 M7–L7 ultra-cool dwarfs. All objects display clear spectroscopic signposts of low and intermediate gravity atmospheres. Also, many of them have quite red colors. By combining these data with the polarimetric measurements from the literature, we aim at studying whether there is a relation between linear polarization and atmospheric gravity (or age).

2 TARGET SELECTION

Our sample consists of 14 ultra-cool, relatively bright M7–L7 dwarfs ($12.1 < J \leq 16.7$ mag). The common property of our targets is that they display spectroscopic features indicative of low- to intermediate-gravity atmospheres, thus suggesting young ages: weak Na I and K I lines at optical and near-infrared wavelengths, strong absorption bands due to VO and H₂O, weak absorption by FeH, and a peaked shape of the *H*-band continuum (Lucas et al. 2001; Cruz et al. 2009; Allers & Liu 2013). Some also show lithium absorption in their optical spectra (see below). Eleven targets were selected from the catalog of Cruz et al. (2009), representing $\sim 48\%$ of the objects studied by these authors. The following three sources were added to the final target list: PSO J318.5338–22.8603 (Liu et al. 2013), which is one of the reddest known field L dwarfs with a triangular-shaped *H*-band, and KPNO-Tau 4 (Briceño et al. 2002) and CFHT-BD-Tau 4 (Dougados et al. 2001), which are two confirmed members of the Taurus star-forming region.

The complete names, 2MASS *J*-band and *WISE* magnitudes (Skrutskie et al. 2006; Wright et al. 2010), and spectral types of the targets are provided in Table 1 (abridged names will be used in what follows). We also indicate the availability of optical and near-infrared spectra in the literature. Regarding the *WISE* photometry, we only provide magnitudes with signal-to-noise ratio ($S/N \geq 3$) as given by the *ALLWISE* catalog (Cutri et al. 2013), except for PSO J318–22, whose values come from the discovery paper (Liu et al. 2013). Figure 1 shows the color $J - W2$ of the targets as a function of spectral type (the two Taurus objects are labeled). The sample systematically lies at very red colors defining the upper envelope of the trend delineated by field, high-gravity dwarfs. Among the target list, five sources (CFHT-BD-Tau 4 and KPNO-Tau 4; J0501–0010, L4; J0355+1133, L5; and PSO J318–22, L7) have clear mid-infrared flux excesses, deviating from the field sequence by




Figure 1. $J - W2$ color as a function of spectral type. Our targets are plotted as red circles, vertical bars stand for errors in the color, while horizontal bars stand for the spectral type uncertainties. Field dwarfs taken from Dupuy & Liu (2012) are shown with black circles. Taurus objects are labeled. Targets spectral types are slightly shifted for clarity.

more than $3\text{-}\sigma$ the combined photometric errors and field-sequence dispersion.

The 14 targets are likely younger than $\approx 0.5\text{--}1$ Gyr and less massive than $\approx 0.075 M_{\odot}$. The youngest sources (≈ 1 Myr) are the two confirmed Taurus members. For the remaining objects, we relied on the literature and on the presence of lithium in the atmospheres (i.e., the lithium test, Rebolo et al. 1992) to estimate their ages and set a constraint on their masses. In the target sample, lithium absorption at 670.82 nm is present in J0032–4405 (Goldman et al. 1999), J0045+1634, J0355+1133, J0501–0010, J1726+1538, J2126–8140, J2208+2921 (Schweitzer et al. 2001; Cruz et al. 2009; Zapatero Osorio et al. 2014), and KPNO-Tau 4 (McGovern et al. 2004; Mohanty et al. 2005). Given their spectral types and likely young ages, all of these sources with atmospheric lithium have substellar masses likely ranging from 0.012 through 0.065 $M_{\odot}$. The upper limits on the lithium strength of J0033–1521, J0241–0326, J1552+2948, and J2322–6151 (Cruz et al. 2009; Zapatero Osorio et al. 2014) indicate that these objects are likely older and have a mass greater than about 0.06 $M_{\odot}$. Allers & Liu (2013) classified J0033–1521 as a normal field-gravity dwarf based on its near-infrared spectrum in agreement with the discussion by Zapatero Osorio et al. (2014). Gagné et al. (2014) argued that J2322–6151 is a likely 0.012–0.013 $M_{\odot}$ member of the Tucana-Horologium moving group (20–40 Myr). We adopted these values since the spectrum of Cruz et al. (2009) has poor quality at the lithium wavelengths, thus impeding the clear detection of the line. CFHT-BD-Tau 4 has no lithium detection reported in the literature to date; however, it shows several other indicators of extreme youth, like mid-infrared flux excesses and strong H α emission (suggesting mass accretion from a disk, e.g., Jayawardhana et al. 2003). PSO J318–22 has no optical spectrum. The age and mass intervals of

Table 1. List of targets.

Name	SpT	J (mag)	$W1$ (mag)	$W2$ (mag)	$W3$ (mag)	$W4$ (mag)	Opt/NIR	Age ^a (Myr)	Age ^b (Myr)	Mass (M_{Jup})	Ref
EROS-MP J0032–4405	L0	14.78 ± 0.04	12.84 ± 0.02	12.52 ± 0.02	11.80 ± 0.25	–	y,y	–	12–22	~ 10	1,4,13
2MASS J00332386–1521309	L4	15.29 ± 0.06	12.82 ± 0.02	12.50 ± 0.03	11.85 ± 0.31	–	y,y	≥ 500	30–50	≥ 60	1,4,6
2MASS J00452143+1634446	L2	13.06 ± 0.02	10.78 ± 0.02	10.40 ± 0.02	9.80 ± 0.05	8.64 ± 0.34	y,y	10–100	30–50	12–25	1,4,6
2MASS J02411151–0326587	L0	15.80 ± 0.07	13.65 ± 0.03	13.28 ± 0.03	–	–	y,y	≥ 500	20–40	≥ 60	1,4,6
2MASS J03552337+1133437	L5	14.05 ± 0.02	10.53 ± 0.02	9.94 ± 0.02	9.22 ± 0.04	–	y,y	50–500	70–120	15–40	1,4,6
KPNO-Tau 4	M9.5	15.00 ± 0.04	12.82 ± 0.03	12.36 ± 0.03	–	–	y,y	~ 1	–	11–15	2,8,9,10,11
CFHT-BD-Tau 4	M7	12.17 ± 0.02	9.83 ± 0.02	8.99 ± 0.02	7.02 ± 0.02	5.12 ± 0.03	y,y	~ 1	–	~ 67	3,4,6,8,12
2MASS J05012406–0010452	L4	14.98 ± 0.03	12.05 ± 0.02	11.52 ± 0.02	10.75 ± 0.11	–	y,y	50–500	–	13–45	1,4,6
2MASS J15525906+2948485	L0	13.48 ± 0.03	11.55 ± 0.02	11.20 ± 0.02	10.62 ± 0.05	–	y,y	≥ 500	–	≥ 65	1,4,6
2MASS J17260007+1538190	L3	15.67 ± 0.07	13.07 ± 0.02	12.67 ± 0.03	11.88 ± 0.29	–	y,y	10–300	–	11–45	1,4,6
PSO J318.5338–22.8603	L7	16.71 ± 0.20	13.22 ± 0.03	12.46 ± 0.03	11.80 ± 0.40	–	n,y	–	8–20	6–15	9
2MASS J21265040–8140293	L3	15.54 ± 0.06	12.93 ± 0.02	12.47 ± 0.02	11.93 ± 0.18	–	y,n	–	20–40	~ 14	1,13
2MASS J22081363+2921215	L3	15.80 ± 0.09	13.38 ± 0.03	12.91 ± 0.03	–	–	y,y	10–300	12–22	11–45	1,4,6
2MASS J23225299–6151275	L2	15.54 ± 0.06	13.22 ± 0.02	12.81 ± 0.03	12.40 ± 0.35	–	y,n	–	20–40	~ 13	1,13

Notes: ^a According to Zapatero Osorio et al. (2014), except for Taurus sources. ^b Based on the objects' likely membership in star moving groups according to Liu et al. (2013) and Gagné et al. (2014). References: (1) Cruz et al. (2009); (2) McGovern et al. (2004); (3) Martin et al. (2001); (4) Allers & Liu (2013); (5) Allers et al. (2009); (6) Zapatero Osorio et al. (2014); (7) Mayne et al. (2012); (8) Canty et al. (2013); (9) Liu et al. (2013); (10) Muzerolle et al. (2005); (11) Scholz et al. (2006); (12) Reiners et al. (2009); (13) Gagné et al. (2014).

our targets are summarized in Table 1 and respond to the measures determined by the references given in the last column. Using near-infrared, high spatial resolution images obtained with the *Hubble Space Telescope*, Gizis et al. (2003) and Reid et al. (2006) did not resolve as binary the following targets: J0033–1521, J0045+1634, J0355+1133, J1552+2948, and J2208+2921. There are no other similar imaging studies to address the resolved binarity of the remaining targets in our sample.

Trigonometric parallaxes are available for nearly all of the targets in the sample (Faherty et al. 2012; Zapatero Osorio et al. 2014), except for J2126–8140, J2322–6151, and the Taurus objects. The latter are located at ≈ 140 pc, which is the accepted distance to this expanded star-forming region (Kenyon et al. 1994; Wichmann et al. 1998), and they likely suffer from some extinction as reported by Guieu et al. (2007). According to the measured parallactic distances, our field targets are typically located at $\lesssim 50$ pc. In the sample, J0045+1634 and J0241–0326 have J -band linear polarimetric data published in the literature (Zapatero Osorio et al. 2011; Miles-Páez et al. 2013). The new measurements will allow us to monitor their polarimetric signal on different occasions.

3 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

The log of optical and near-infrared linear polarimetry observations is provided in Table 2, including the total on-source integration times, Universal Time (UT) observing dates, filters, mean air masses, and raw seeing as measured from the averaged full-width-at-half maximum (FWHM) over the reduced images. Further details on data acquisition and reduction are given in the following subsections.

3.1 Optical linear polarimetry

3.1.1 FORS2

The FOcal Reducer and low dispersion Spectrograph (FORS2) sample contains five objects (J0032–4405, J0033–1521, J0241–0326, J2126–8140, and J2322–6151). We conducted linear polarimetric imaging photometry using the Bessel I -band filter and FORS2 (Appenzeller et al. 1998) on the Antu unit (UT1) of the Very Large Telescope (VLT) of the European Southern Observatory (ESO) in

Cerro Paranal, Chile. FORS2 is by default equipped with a detector system that is optimized for the red with a very low level of fringes thanks to a mosaic of two 2048×4096 MIT CCDs (with $15 \mu\text{m}$ pixels). The plate scale is $0''.252$ pix for the standard readout mode (2×2 binning). The polarization optics consists of a Wollaston prism as beam splitting analyzer and a half-wave superachromatic retarder plate to measure linear polarization. When using the imaging polarimetry mode, a mask with alternating transparent and opaque parallel strips avoids the overlapping of the two beams from the Wollaston, yielding half of the field of view, which is duplicated as ordinary and extraordinary rays in strips of size $3'4 \times 11''$. The central wavelength and the passband of the Bessel I filter are 0.768 and $0.138 \mu\text{m}$. Observations were carried out on 2012 August 23. Data were acquired at ≥ 90 deg from the wind direction, which was pointing from the North at a velocity of $\geq 12 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. Weather conditions were clear and the seeing was around $1''.1$ during the whole night. FORS2 polarimetric images were acquired using different positions of the phase retarder plate (0, 22.5, 45, and 67.5 deg). One polarimetric cycle includes the four angles. One to three images were taken per retarder plate angle (Table 2). To avoid as many systematics as possible, all targets were acquired on the same spot of the FORS2 detector 1 (no dithering). Individual exposure times ranged from 200 to 300 s depending on the target brightness. In Table 2, total integration times are given as follows: number of polarimetric cycles $\times$ number of exposures per retarder plate $\times$ individual exposure time.

3.1.2 ALFOSC

We collected R - and I -band linear polarimetry images of J0045+1634 using the Andalucía Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (ALFOSC¹) mounted on the 2.56-m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) of the Roque de los Muchachos Observatory (La Palma, Spain) on 2014 October 2. ALFOSC has a 2048×2048 E2V detector with a pixel size of $0''.19$. The central wavelength and the passband of the filters are

¹ ALFOSC is provided by the Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (IAA) under a joint agreement with the University of Copenhagen and the Nordic Optical Telescope Scientific Association (NOTSA).

Table 2. Observing log.

Object	Instrument	Aperture ^a (FWHM)	Obs. date (UT)	Filter	Exposure Time ^b (s)	FWHM (")	Airmass
J0032–4405	FORS2	1–2	2012 Aug 23	<i>I</i>	$4 \times 1 \times 200$	1.3	1.06–1.10
J0033–1521	FORS2	1–2	2012 Aug 23	<i>I</i>	$2 \times 1 \times 250$	1.2	1.18–1.33
J0045+1634	LIRIS	2–6	2013 Oct 10	<i>J</i>	$1 \times 9 \times 50, 1 \times 9 \times 50$	0.8	1.10–1.06
	LIRIS	2–6	2014 Oct 02	<i>Y</i>	$1 \times 9 \times 90, 1 \times 9 \times 90$	1.1	1.09–1.05
	LIRIS	2–6	2014 Oct 02	<i>J</i>	$1 \times 9 \times 30, 1 \times 9 \times 30$	1.1	1.05–1.04
	ALFOSC	1–3	2014 Oct 02	<i>I</i>	$1 \times 1 \times 300$	1.0	1.08–1.06
	ALFOSC	1–2	2014 Oct 02	<i>R</i>	$1 \times 1 \times 400$	1.0	1.05–1.04
J0241–0326	LIRIS	1–2	2011 Dec 31	<i>J</i>	$1 \times 9 \times 120, 1 \times 9 \times 120$	1.2	1.24–1.18
	FORS2	1–2	2012 Aug 23	<i>I</i>	$5 \times 1 \times 300$	0.9	2.30–1.30
	LIRIS	1.5–2.5	2012 Oct 06	<i>J</i>	$2 \times 9 \times 120, 2 \times 9 \times 120$	0.8	1.21–1.19
	LIRIS	1.5–2.5	2012 Oct 07	<i>J</i>	$2 \times 9 \times 120, 2 \times 9 \times 120$	1.2	1.25–1.18
	LIRIS	2–3	2013 Oct 12	<i>J</i>	$2 \times 9 \times 60, 2 \times 9 \times 60$	0.9	2.27–1.79
	LIRIS	1.5–2.5	2013 Oct 13	<i>Z</i>	$3 \times 5 \times 60, 3 \times 5 \times 60$	0.8	1.29–1.21
	LIRIS	2–3	2013 Oct 13	<i>J</i>	$7 \times 5 \times 60, 7 \times 5 \times 60$	0.7	1.20–1.19
	LIRIS	2–4	2013 Oct 13	<i>H</i>	$2 \times 5 \times 40, 2 \times 5 \times 40$	0.7	1.20–1.24
J0355+1133	LIRIS	2–6	2013 Oct 10	<i>J</i>	$1 \times 9 \times 50, 1 \times 9 \times 50$	0.8	1.19–1.23
KPNO-Tau 4	LIRIS	2–3	2012 Oct 07	<i>J</i>	$2 \times 9 \times 120, 2 \times 9 \times 120$	0.9	1.06–1.00
	LIRIS	2–3	2013 Jan 28	<i>J</i>	$1 \times 9 \times 100, 1 \times 9 \times 100$	0.9	1.28–1.42
	LIRIS	2–3	2013 Oct 13	<i>J</i>	$1 \times 9 \times 60, 1 \times 9 \times 60$	0.7	1.09–1.13
CFHT-BD-Tau 4	LIRIS	4–6	2013 Oct 12	<i>Z</i>	$2 \times 9 \times 40, 2 \times 9 \times 40$	0.7	1.07–1.15
	LIRIS	4–6	2013 Oct 12	<i>J</i>	$2 \times 9 \times 10, 2 \times 9 \times 10$	0.7	1.03–1.06
J0501–0010	LIRIS	1–2	2011 Dec 31	<i>J</i>	$2 \times 9 \times 120, 2 \times 9 \times 120$	1.7	1.16–1.78
J1552+2948	LIRIS	2–3	2011 Dec 31	<i>J</i>	$1 \times 9 \times 60, 1 \times 9 \times 60$	0.8	1.97–1.68
	LIRIS	2–3	2012 Jun 16	<i>J</i>	$1 \times 9 \times 120, 2 \times 9 \times 120$	2.1	1.01–1.16
	LIRIS	2–3	2013 Jan 28	<i>J</i>	$1 \times 9 \times 80, 1 \times 9 \times 80$	0.8	1.32–1.22
J1726+1538	LIRIS	1–2	2012 Jun 15	<i>J</i>	$2 \times 9 \times 120, 2 \times 9 \times 120$	1.1	1.10–1.20
	LIRIS	1–2	2013 Jan 28	<i>J</i>	$1 \times 9 \times 120, 1 \times 9 \times 120$	1.1	1.90–1.34
PSO J318–22	LIRIS	1–2	2013 Oct 12	<i>J</i>	$2 \times 9 \times 60, 2 \times 9 \times 60$	0.7	1.62–1.65
	LIRIS	2–3	2013 Oct 11	<i>K_s</i>	$2 \times 9 \times 30, 2 \times 9 \times 30$	0.7	1.74–1.67
J2126–8140	FORS2	1–2	2012 Aug 23	<i>I</i>	$5 \times 2 \times 200$	1.2	2.10–1.90
J2208+2921	LIRIS	1–2	2012 Jun 16	<i>J</i>	$3 \times 9 \times 120, 2 \times 9 \times 120$	1.7	1.23–1.02
J2322–6151	FORS2	2–3	2012 Aug 23	<i>I</i>	$2 \times 3 \times 200, 4 \times 1 \times 200$	1.4	1.54–1.29
Feige 110 ^c	LIRIS	2–4	2013 Oct 11	<i>Z</i>	$1 \times 5 \times 20, 1 \times 5 \times 20$	0.7	1.22–1.22
	LIRIS	2–4	2013 Oct 11	<i>J</i>	$1 \times 9 \times 10, 1 \times 9 \times 10$	0.7	1.25–1.25
	LIRIS	2–4	2013 Oct 11	<i>H</i>	$1 \times 5 \times 12, 1 \times 5 \times 12$	0.7	1.24–1.24
	LIRIS	3–4	2013 Oct 13	<i>Z</i>	$1 \times 5 \times 30, 1 \times 5 \times 30$	0.7	1.29–1.30
	LIRIS	3–4	2013 Oct 13	<i>J</i>	$2 \times 5 \times 10, 2 \times 5 \times 10$	0.7	1.27–1.29
	LIRIS	3–4	2013 Oct 13	<i>H</i>	$1 \times 5 \times 7, 1 \times 5 \times 7$	0.7	1.25–1.25
	LIRIS	3–4	2013 Oct 13	<i>K_s</i>	$2 \times 5 \times 7, 2 \times 5 \times 7$	0.7	1.26–1.27
BD+28 4211 ^c	LIRIS	1–6	2014 Oct 02	<i>Y</i>	$1 \times 9 \times 7, 1 \times 9 \times 7$	0.8	1.15–1.14
	LIRIS	1–6	2014 Oct 02	<i>J</i>	$1 \times 9 \times 7, 1 \times 9 \times 7$	0.8	1.13–1.12
WD 0310–688 ^c	FORS2	2–6	2012 Aug 23	<i>I</i>	$1 \times 1 \times 0.25$	0.4	1.38–1.39
WD 0501+527 ^c	ALFOSC	2–6	2014 Oct 02	<i>R</i>	$4 \times 2 \times 3$	0.8	1.09–1.09
	ALFOSC	2–6	2014 Oct 02	<i>I</i>	$4 \times 2 \times 5$	0.8	1.09–1.09
Hiltner 652 ^d	FORS2	2–6	2012 Aug 23	<i>I</i>	$2 \times 2 \times 0.25$	1.2	1.02–1.01
HD 38563c ^d	LIRIS	3–6	2013 Oct 10	<i>J</i>	$2 \times 9 \times 3, 2 \times 9 \times 3$	0.8	1.17–1.18
	LIRIS	3–6	2013 Oct 10	<i>H</i>	$2 \times 9 \times 2, 2 \times 9 \times 2$	0.8	1.18–1.19
	LIRIS	3–4	2013 Oct 12	<i>Z</i>	$1 \times 5 \times 5, 1 \times 5 \times 5$	0.7	1.18–1.18
	LIRIS	2–6	2013 Oct 12	<i>J</i>	$1 \times 5 \times 2, 1 \times 5 \times 2$	0.7	1.19–1.19
	LIRIS	2–6	2013 Oct 12	<i>H</i>	$1 \times 5 \times 1, 1 \times 5 \times 1$	0.7	1.20–1.20
HD 283855 ^d	LIRIS	1–6	2014 Oct 02	<i>Y</i>	$1 \times 9 \times 2, 1 \times 9 \times 2$	0.8	1.01–1.01
	LIRIS	1–6	2014 Oct 02	<i>J</i>	$1 \times 9 \times 1, 1 \times 9 \times 1$	0.8	1.01–1.01
BD+64 106 ^d	ALFOSC	2–6	2014 Oct 02	<i>R</i>	$4 \times 2 \times 1$	1.2	1.62–1.63
	ALFOSC	2–6	2014 Oct 02	<i>I</i>	$4 \times 2 \times 1$	1.2	1.63–1.65

Notes: ^a Range of circular photometric apertures (in units of FWHM) used to determine the normalized Stokes parameters. ^b Total integration times per instrument and observing run as explained in the text. ^c Zero-polarized standard star. ^d Polarized standard star (Whittet et al. 1992).

0.641/0.148 μm (R) and 0.797/0.157 μm (I). ALFOSC linear polarimetry mode consists of a half-wave plate and a calcite block providing simultaneous images of the ordinary and the extraordinary beams separated by $15''$. The total unvignetted field of view is $140''$ in diameter. Sky conditions were clear and the seeing was nearly constant around $1''$ during the observations. The ALFOSC and the near-infrared data of J0045+1634 were acquired simultaneously (see Section 3.2). Similarly to FORS2, we employed four angles of the retarder plate (0, 22.5, 45, and 67.5 deg) to complete one polarimetric cycle of observations. ALFOSC individual exposure times were 400 s (R) and 300 s (I).

In Table 2, total times are given as follows: number of polarimetric cycles $\times$ number of exposures per retarder plate $\times$ individual exposure time.

FORS2 and ALFOSC raw data were bias and flat-field corrected using packages within the Image Reduction and Analysis Facility software (IRAF²). We used images taken without the polarimetric optics during the sunset to construct proper flat-field images per observing filter.

3.2 Infrared linear polarimetry (LIRIS)

Ten targets (J0045+1634, J0241−0326, J0355+1133, KPNO-Tau 4, CFHT-BD-Tau 4, J0501−0010, J1552+2948, J1726+1538, PSO J318−22, and J2208+2921) were observed in the near-infrared using the J -band filter and the Long-slit Intermediate Resolution Infrared Spectrograph (LIRIS; Manchado et al. 2004) attached to the Cassegrain focus of the 4.2-m William Herschel Telescope (WHT) on the Roque de los Muchachos Observatory. Some of them were also observed in the Z , Y , H , and K_s filters. LIRIS has a 1024×1024 pixel Hawaii detector covering the spectral range 0.8–2.5 μm . The pixel projection on the sky is $0''.25$ yielding a field of view of $4'.27 \times 4'.27$. In its polarimetric imaging mode, LIRIS uses a Wedged double Wollaston device (Oliva 1997), consisting in a combination of two Wollaston prisms that deliver four simultaneous images of the polarized flux at vector angles 0 and 90 deg, 45 and 135 deg. An aperture mask $4' \times 1'$ in size is in the light path to prevent overlapping effects between the different polarization vector images. The central wavelengths and widths of the LIRIS filters are 1.02/0.04 μm (Y -band), 1.03/0.04 μm (Z -band), 1.25/0.16 μm (J -band), 1.63/0.15 μm (H -band), and 2.15/0.16 μm (K_s -band). We obtained linear polarimetric images following a dither pattern of 5 or 9 points to properly remove the sky background contribution. Typical dither offsets were $\sim 20''$ and $\sim 10''$ along the horizontal and vertical axis. We systematically located our targets on the same spot of the detector, which is close to the center of the LIRIS field of view and optical axis. Observations were carried out during six observing campaigns between 2011 December and 2014 October. Weather conditions were clear and the raw seeing was typically $0''.6$ – $1''.3$, except for 2012 June when we had a seeing of $\sim 2''$.

A detailed description of the LIRIS polarimetric frames obtained through the two Wollaston prisms is given in Alves et al. (2011): Per frame, there are four images of the main source corresponding to vector angles of 0°_{TR} , 90°_{TR} , 135°_{TR} , and 45°_{TR} from top to bottom, where the subindex TR stands for the telescope rotator angle. The early observations of 2011 December, 2012 June, and 2012 October were acquired at two different positions of the WHT rotator: $TR = 0^\circ$ and 90° . The benefits of this observing strategy are twofold: The flat-fielding effects of the detector are minimized, and S/N of the polarimetric measurements is improved. The overheads introduced by the rotation and de-rotation of the telescope rotator were typically about 5 min per target. For the observing runs in 2013 and 2014, we used two retarder plates, which is an implementation added to one of the filter wheels of LIRIS during late 2012. These two retarder plates minimize the overhead times (by not having to move the telescope rotator) and provide polarimetric images with exchanged orthogonal vector angles. Total integration times are listed per telescope rotator angle or retarder plate in Table 2 as follows: number of polarimetric cycles $\times$ dithering pattern $\times$ individual exposure time.

Raw LIRIS data were reduced as in Miles-Pérez et al. (2013). Each polarimetric vector was processed separately. Data were sky subtracted, flat-fielded, aligned, and stacked together to produce deep images. The final S/N of our targets in the reduced FORS2, ALFOSC, and LIRIS images is ≥ 100 .

3.3 Linear polarization standard stars

To control the instruments efficiency and the linear polarization introduced by the various telescopes and instruments, one polarized and one unpolarized standard stars were observed with the same instrumental configurations and on the same dates as the science objects. The log of the standard stars observations is given in Table 2 (bottom). These sources were selected from the catalogs of standard stars of FORS2 and ALFOSC (optical) and from Schmidt et al. (1992) and Whittet et al. (1992, near infrared). In the case of FORS2, standards are too bright for an 8-m class telescope, so images were acquired with a bad active optics performance and using very short integration times to avoid saturation and the non-linear regime of the detector. All data of standard stars were reduced and analyzed in the same manner as the science targets. ALFOSC standard stars were observed using 16 angles of the retarder plate (this is 12 retarder plate angles in addition to the four angles employed for the science targets). This yields four independent measures of the linear polarization by considering groups of 4 consecutive angles, or one single measurement (with improved S/N) by combining all 16 angles of the retarder plate.

4 POLARIMETRIC ANALYSIS

We used the flux-ratio method to compute the normalized Stokes parameters q and u at optical and near infrared wavelengths. The degree of linear polarization, P , and the vibration angle of the polarization, Θ , were obtained for all filters using measured fluxes and equations 5 and 6 given in Zapatero Osorio et al. (2011). We followed the procedure

² IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

fully described in Miles-Páez et al. (2013), which is summarized as follows: Fluxes of all polarimetric quantities involved in these equations were measured using the IRAF PHOT package and defining circular photometric apertures of different sizes (from $0.5 \times$ to $6 \times$ FWHM with steps of $0.1 \times$ FWHM) and 18 sky rings or annulus of inner radius of $3.5 \times$ through $6 \times$ FWHM (steps of $0.5 \times$ FWHM) and widths of $1 \times$, $1.5 \times$, and $2 \times$ FWHM. We computed the Stokes parameters for each aperture and sky ring and plotted them as a function of aperture, then we chose those apertures where both q and u parameters remained flat (typically $2 \times$ through $4 \times$ FWHM). To illustrate this technique, we provide q and u determinations as a function of photometric aperture in Figure A1 in the Appendix A. We finally picked the average q and u values of the selected apertures range. Their associated uncertainties were determined as the standard deviation of all measurements within the selected apertures. To test the validity of this method of computing the polarimetric uncertainties, we derived the q and u values for each of the 9 dither images of KPNO-Tau 4, which resulted in 9 independent q and u measurements. The standard deviation of these q and u values divided by $\sqrt{9}$ coincides with the quoted uncertainties determined for the combined image using the approach of Miles-Páez et al. (2013). Tables 3 and 4 provide the final normalized Stokes parameters and their error bars for both science targets and standard stars.

From the observations of the non-polarized standard star using FORS2, we determined that the Bessel I -band instrumental linear polarization is inconsequential within $\pm 0.04\%$, which agrees with the value of $\pm 0.03\%$ reported by Fossati et al. (2007). From the polarized standard star, we measured an offset in the linear polarization vibration angle of $\Theta_o = -2^\circ.69 \pm 0^\circ.23$ for FORS2. This result agrees with the angle $\Theta_{o,\text{tab}} = -2^\circ.89 \pm 0^\circ.10$ given in the instrument users manual³.

Similarly, we determined the upper limit on the instrumental linear polarization and the correction for the polarization vibration angle of the ALFOSC instrument. In analogy to the science targets, the ALFOSC four retarder plate angles of the non-polarized star yielded no significant instrumental polarization within $\pm 0.22\%$ for both the R - and I -bands, which agrees with the ALFOSC manual. A more precise determination was obtained from the combination of the 16 angles of the retarder plate, from which we constrained the instrumental linear polarization to be less than $\pm 0.07\%$ for both filters of interest. The ALFOSC zero-points of the linear polarization vibration angle were measured at $\Theta_o = 2^\circ.4 \pm 1^\circ.8$ and $\Theta_o = 2^\circ.2 \pm 1^\circ.8$ for the R - and I -bands, respectively.

As for LIRIS, we found negligible instrumental linear polarization within $\pm 0.10\%$ for the Y -, Z -, J -, H -, and K_s -filters, and vibration angle zero points depending on wavelength as follows: $\Theta_o = 3^\circ.3 \pm 1^\circ.6$ (Y), $4^\circ.4 \pm 1^\circ.2$ (Z), $4^\circ.1 \pm 1^\circ.3$ (J), and $5^\circ.3 \pm 1^\circ.5$ (H). These values coincide within $1\text{-}\sigma$ the quoted uncertainties with those given by Miles-Páez et al. (2013) for the filters in common.

Tables 3 and 4 provide the linear polarization degrees, $P = (q^2 + u^2)^{1/2}$, and polarization vibration angles, $\Theta =$

$0.5 \text{ atan}(u/q)$, determined for the science targets and standard stars. Error bars of P , σ_P , were computed as the quadratic sum of the q and u uncertainties plus the error in the determination of the instrumental polarization. The errors associated with Θ were computed as the sum of the error coming from the corresponding Θ_o and the value derived from the expression $28.65\sigma_P/P$ (deg), which results from the error propagation theory and is valid when $P/\sigma_P \geq 3$ (Serkowski 1974; Wardle & Kronberg 1974). Because the linear polarization is always a positive quantity, small values of P and values of P affected by poor S/N data are statistically biased toward an overestimation of the true polarization (see Simmons & Stewart 1985). We applied the equation $p^* = (P^2 - \sigma_P^2)^{1/2}$ given by Wardle & Kronberg (1974) to derive the unbiased linear polarization degree, p^* (also listed in Tables 3 and 4), by taking into account the measured P and its associated uncertainty. At high values of polarization and small uncertainties, differences between P and p^* are imperceptible. For those measurements where $\sigma_P \geq P$, we computed the $1\text{-}\sigma$ upper limit for p^* following Simmons & Stewart (1985, see their section 3) to indicate that the likely linear polarization value lies somewhere between 0.0% and the computed upper limit with a confidence at the 67% level.

We adopted the $3\text{-}\sigma$ criterion (i.e., $P/\sigma_P \geq 3$) for claiming detections of linear polarization with a significant confidence of 99% . For these particular cases, we computed the polarization vibration angles and their associated uncertainties provided in Tables 3 and 4. The polarimetric data complying with the $3\text{-}\sigma$ criterion are conveniently highlighted in all Figures throughout the paper. The polarization vibration angles were also determined for less significance polarimetric measurements ($1 < P/\sigma_P < 3$) and are only shown in Figures of Sections 6.1 and 6.3.

5 TAURUS OBJECTS

We discuss CFHT-BD-Tau 4 and KPNO-Tau 4 separately from the rest of the target list since these two objects are members of the Taurus star-forming region and have a very distinct age of ≈ 1 Myr. Both are affected by moderate amounts of extinction: $A_v \sim 2.5$, $A_J \sim 0.9$ mag (KPNO-Tau 4) and $A_v \sim 3$, $A_J \sim 1.9$ mag (CFHT-BD-Tau 4) according to Guieu et al. (2007), part of which might come from the presence of surrounding disks responsible for the infrared flux excesses (Luhman et al. 2010). Additionally, several works hint to the presence of accretion events (Jayawardhana et al. 2003; Mohanty et al. 2005; Muzerolle et al. 2005). All of these properties clearly differentiate the Taurus sources from the field sample in relation to polarimetric studies.

CFHT-BD-Tau 4, the warmest and most massive of the two Taurus brown dwarfs in our analysis, has time consecutive Z - and J -band photometry, separated by 25.2 min, displaying significant level of linear polarization. The polarization degree and vibration angle measurements are compatible within $1\text{-}\sigma$ the quoted uncertainties, and neither p^* nor Θ appear to show any dependency on wavelength. KPNO-Tau 4, which has a mass near the brown dwarf—planet borderline at the age of Taurus, was observed in the J -band on three different occasions spread over ≈ 1 yr. Interestingly, signifi-

³ <http://www.eso.org/sci/facilities/paranal/instruments/fors/doc/>

Table 3. Linear polarimetry photometry of science targets.

Object	Filter	Obs. date (JD−2450000.5)	q (%)	u (%)	P (%)	p^* (%)	Θ (deg)
LIRIS data							
J0045+1634	J	6575.9719	-0.23 ± 0.04	0.07 ± 0.10	0.24 ± 0.15	0.19 ± 0.15	
	R^a	6933.0173	0.16 ± 0.32	-0.08 ± 0.18	0.17 ± 0.43	≤ 0.30	
	I^a	6933.0006	0.04 ± 0.19	0.13 ± 0.22	0.14 ± 0.37	≤ 0.24	
	Y	6932.9991	0.31 ± 0.08	0.09 ± 0.60	0.32 ± 0.14	0.29 ± 0.14	
J0241−0326	J	6933.0116	0.32 ± 0.05	0.21 ± 0.04	0.38 ± 0.12	0.36 ± 0.12	16.6 ± 9.5
	J	5926.8583	-0.60 ± 0.09	0.39 ± 0.17	0.72 ± 0.22	0.69 ± 0.22	73.5 ± 8.7
	J	6207.0830	1.39 ± 0.15	-0.03 ± 0.10	1.39 ± 0.21	1.38 ± 0.21	179.4 ± 4.3
	J	6208.0938	1.16 ± 0.15	-0.32 ± 0.17	1.20 ± 0.25	1.18 ± 0.25	172.3 ± 5.9
	J	6577.9558	-0.05 ± 0.13	-0.14 ± 0.23	0.15 ± 0.29	≤ 0.26	
	Z	6579.0584	-1.00 ± 0.77	3.83 ± 0.46	3.96 ± 0.91	3.86 ± 0.91	52.3 ± 6.6
	J	6579.0721	-0.06 ± 0.16	0.12 ± 0.12	0.14 ± 0.22	≤ 0.22	
	J	6579.0808	-0.55 ± 0.12	0.98 ± 0.13	1.12 ± 0.20	1.11 ± 0.20	59.7 ± 5.2
	J	6579.0889	-0.02 ± 0.22	0.39 ± 0.10	0.39 ± 0.27	0.28 ± 0.27	
	J	6579.0971	-0.47 ± 0.13	-0.56 ± 0.20	0.73 ± 0.25	0.68 ± 0.25	
	J	6579.1056	0.27 ± 0.25	-0.81 ± 0.11	0.85 ± 0.29	0.80 ± 0.29	
	J	6579.1139	-0.01 ± 0.15	0.16 ± 0.27	0.16 ± 0.33	≤ 0.30	
	J	6579.1221	0.65 ± 0.11	-1.30 ± 0.28	1.45 ± 0.32	1.42 ± 0.32	148.3 ± 6.3
	H	6579.1322	0.04 ± 0.13	0.12 ± 0.23	0.13 ± 0.28	≤ 0.23	
J0355+1133	J	6576.2404	0.06 ± 0.10	-0.41 ± 0.08	0.42 ± 0.16	0.38 ± 0.16	
KPNO-Tau 4	J	6208.1250	0.86 ± 0.08	0.31 ± 0.11	0.91 ± 0.17	0.90 ± 0.17	9.9 ± 5.3
	J	6321.0116	-0.16 ± 0.16	0.43 ± 0.13	0.46 ± 0.23	0.40 ± 0.23	
	J	6579.2441	-0.31 ± 0.17	-0.73 ± 0.08	0.79 ± 0.22	0.76 ± 0.22	123.5 ± 7.7
CFHT-BD-Tau 4	J	6578.2314	-0.61 ± 0.04	0.24 ± 0.06	0.65 ± 0.12	0.64 ± 0.12	79.3 ± 5.4
	Z	6578.2516	-0.52 ± 0.06	0.22 ± 0.08	0.56 ± 0.17	0.53 ± 0.17	78.5 ± 8.7
J0501−0010	J	5927.0120	0.19 ± 0.09	0.23 ± 0.07	0.30 ± 0.16	0.25 ± 0.16	
J1552+2948	J	5927.2534	0.20 ± 0.04	0.11 ± 0.07	0.23 ± 0.13	0.19 ± 0.13	
	J	6094.9531	-0.06 ± 0.05	-0.05 ± 0.04	0.08 ± 0.12	≤ 0.12	
	J	6321.2332	0.23 ± 0.08	0.42 ± 0.08	0.48 ± 0.15	0.45 ± 0.15	30.6 ± 9.0
J1726+1538	J	6094.1205	-0.28 ± 0.09	0.34 ± 0.08	0.44 ± 0.15	0.41 ± 0.15	
	J	6321.2732	0.18 ± 0.09	0.69 ± 0.15	0.72 ± 0.20	0.69 ± 0.20	37.7 ± 8.1
PSO J318−22	J	6577.9001	-0.06 ± 0.15	0.42 ± 0.42	0.43 ± 0.45	≤ 0.72	
	K_s	6576.8264	-0.18 ± 0.10	0.35 ± 0.22	0.39 ± 0.30	0.25 ± 0.30	
J2208+2921	J	6095.1193	-0.23 ± 0.13	0.06 ± 0.14	0.24 ± 0.21	0.11 ± 0.21	
FORS2 data							
J0032−4405	I	6163.2989	-0.05 ± 0.09	-0.14 ± 0.10	0.15 ± 0.14	0.05 ± 0.14	
	I	6163.3109	-0.14 ± 0.07	-0.08 ± 0.09	0.16 ± 0.12	0.11 ± 0.12	
	I	6163.3224	-0.24 ± 0.11	-0.03 ± 0.09	0.25 ± 0.14	0.20 ± 0.14	
	I	6163.3458	-0.04 ± 0.05	-0.01 ± 0.11	0.04 ± 0.13	≤ 0.10	
J0033−1521	I	6163.3930	-0.10 ± 0.03	-0.04 ± 0.07	0.11 ± 0.09	0.06 ± 0.09	
	I	6163.4068	0.03 ± 0.05	0.00 ± 0.08	0.03 ± 0.09	≤ 0.10	
J0241−0326	I	6163.2139	0.84 ± 0.21	0.26 ± 0.28	0.88 ± 0.35	0.80 ± 0.35	
	I	6163.2299	0.56 ± 0.20	0.29 ± 0.27	0.63 ± 0.34	0.53 ± 0.28	
	I	6163.2462	0.47 ± 0.13	0.12 ± 0.29	0.48 ± 0.32	0.36 ± 0.32	
	I	6163.2624	-0.08 ± 0.22	0.11 ± 0.19	0.14 ± 0.30	≤ 0.24	
	I	6163.2790	0.87 ± 0.16	1.15 ± 0.25	1.44 ± 0.30	1.41 ± 0.30	26.4 ± 6.0
J2126−8140	I	6163.0059	0.62 ± 0.13	-0.49 ± 0.22	0.79 ± 0.25	0.75 ± 0.25	160.8 ± 9.1
	I	6163.0277	0.12 ± 0.19	-0.15 ± 0.21	0.19 ± 0.28	≤ 0.30	
	I	6163.0502	-0.02 ± 0.10	-0.04 ± 0.17	0.04 ± 0.19	≤ 0.10	
	I	6163.0721	0.40 ± 0.10	0.06 ± 0.12	0.40 ± 0.15	0.37 ± 0.15	
J2322−6151 ^b	I	6163.0941	0.82 ± 0.13	0.06 ± 0.10	0.82 ± 0.16	0.80 ± 0.16	2.1 ± 5.6
	I	6163.1260	0.23 ± 0.07	0.00 ± 0.14	0.23 ± 0.16	0.17 ± 0.16	
	I	6163.1586	0.22 ± 0.10	0.02 ± 0.15	0.22 ± 0.18	0.13 ± 0.18	
	I	6163.1806	0.10 ± 0.13	0.01 ± 0.14	0.10 ± 0.16	≤ 0.17	
	I	6163.1926	0.16 ± 0.12	0.03 ± 0.14	0.16 ± 0.18	≤ 0.27	
	I	6163.3758	0.11 ± 0.11	0.05 ± 0.13	0.10 ± 0.17	≤ 0.17	

Notes: ^a ALFOSC data. ^b Six polarimetric cycles were observed. The one dramatically affected by a cosmic ray is not shown.

Table 4. Linear polarimetry photometry of standard stars.

Unpolarized standard stars							
Object	Obs. date (JD-2450000.5)	Filter	q (%)	u (%)	P (%)	p^* (%)	Θ (deg)
Feige 110	6576.9377	Z	0.02 ± 0.08	0.16 ± 0.08	0.16 ± 0.12	0.11 ± 0.12	—
	6576.9227	J	0.06 ± 0.04	-0.09 ± 0.06	0.11 ± 0.07	0.08 ± 0.07	—
	6576.9270	H	-0.13 ± 0.07	-0.02 ± 0.06	0.13 ± 0.10	0.08 ± 0.10	—
	6579.0252	Z	-0.06 ± 0.14	-0.08 ± 0.07	0.10 ± 0.16	≤ 0.16	—
	6579.0118	J	0.03 ± 0.05	-0.11 ± 0.06	0.11 ± 0.08	0.08 ± 0.08	—
	6579.0017	H	-0.06 ± 0.06	-0.04 ± 0.08	0.07 ± 0.11	≤ 0.11	—
	6579.0055	K_s^e	0.08 ± 0.12	-0.05 ± 0.12	0.10 ± 0.17	≤ 0.17	—
BD+28 4211	6932.8283	Y	0.03 ± 0.03	-0.03 ± 0.06	0.04 ± 0.07	≤ 0.07	—
	6932.8344	J	-0.09 ± 0.06	0.08 ± 0.08	0.12 ± 0.11	0.06 ± 0.11	—
WD 0310–688	6163.4199	I	-0.01 ± 0.03	-0.00 ± 0.02	0.01 ± 0.04	≤ 0.04	—
WD 0501+527	6932.8310	R^b	-0.02 ± 0.16	0.12 ± 0.16	0.12 ± 0.23	≤ 0.23	—
	6932.8321	R^c	-0.01 ± 0.02	0.02 ± 0.08	0.02 ± 0.08	≤ 0.08	—
	6932.8432	I^b	0.02 ± 0.20	0.07 ± 0.10	0.07 ± 0.22	≤ 0.22	—
	6932.8447	I^c	0.09 ± 0.04	0.02 ± 0.05	0.09 ± 0.07	0.06 ± 0.07	—
Polarized standard stars							
Object	Obs. date (JD-2450000.5)	Filter	q (%)	u (%)	p^* (%)	Θ (deg)	P_{lit}, Θ^a
Hiltner 652	6162.9848	I	5.43 ± 0.01	-0.67 ± 0.01	5.48 ± 0.04	176.5 ± 0.2	$5.61 \pm 0.04, 179.18 \pm 0.11$
HD 38563c	6576.2742	J	-4.83 ± 0.02	2.63 ± 0.03	5.50 ± 0.11	75.7 ± 0.5	$6.03 \pm 0.10, 71 \pm 1$
	6576.2781	H	-2.70 ± 0.05	1.52 ± 0.07	3.10 ± 0.14	75.4 ± 1.3	$3.68 \pm 0.10, 70 \pm 1$
	6578.2703	Z	-6.40 ± 0.10	3.58 ± 0.06	7.33 ± 0.18	75.4 ± 0.7	$7.27 \pm 0.10, 71 \pm 1$
	6578.2737	J	-5.20 ± 0.15	3.11 ± 0.13	6.06 ± 0.22	74.5 ± 1.0	$6.03 \pm 0.10, 71 \pm 1$
	6578.2794	H	-2.94 ± 0.07	1.65 ± 0.06	3.37 ± 0.14	75.3 ± 1.2	$3.68 \pm 0.10, 70 \pm 1$
HD 283855	6933.0791	Y	-0.44 ± 0.03	2.89 ± 0.05	2.92 ± 0.12	49.3 ± 1.2	$3.27 \pm 0.03, 46 \pm 1$
	6933.0842	J	-0.24 ± 0.09	2.29 ± 0.02	2.30 ± 0.13	48.0 ± 1.7	$2.58 \pm 0.03, 46 \pm 1$
BD+64 106	6933.0971	R^b	-5.04 ± 0.15	-0.78 ± 0.14	5.09 ± 0.30	94.4 ± 1.7	$5.15 \pm 0.10, 96.7 \pm 0.5$
	6933.0942	I^b	-4.63 ± 0.10	-0.77 ± 0.18	4.69 ± 0.30	94.7 ± 1.8	$4.69 \pm 0.05, 96.9 \pm 0.3$
	6933.0980	R^c	-5.08 ± 0.07	-0.86 ± 0.06	5.16 ± 0.12	94.8 ± 0.7	$5.15 \pm 0.10, 96.7 \pm 0.5$
	6933.0952	I^c	-4.60 ± 0.06	-0.72 ± 0.08	4.65 ± 0.12	94.4 ± 0.7	$4.69 \pm 0.05, 96.9 \pm 0.3$

Notes: ^a Literature data from ALFOSC manual on <http://www.not.iac.es/instruments/alfosc/polarimetry/index.html>, Whittet et al. (1992), and Fossati et al. (2007). ^b Average of the four measurements using four retarder plate angles. ^c Measurement obtained from the total of 16 retarder plate angles (see text).

cant linear polarization was found on two distinct moments, and although the degrees of polarization coincide within 1σ the error bars, the vibration angles deviate from one measurement to the next. This indicates strong variability in the J -band linear polarization angle of KPNO-Tau 4.

The origin of the observed linear polarization in CFHT-BD-Tau 4 and KPNO-Tau 4 can be explained by a few scenarios that may occur independently or all at once. One is the interstellar extinction towards the Taurus region (Taurus objects are located at 140 pc). Leroy (1993) and Tamburini et al. (2002) argued that the effects of the interstellar dust on linear polarization become noticeable typically at distances ≥ 70 pc. This kind of linear polarization remains constant on short and long time scales. Therefore, it cannot account for the polarimetric variability seen in KPNO-Tau 4. One second possible explanation is the internal extinction of Taurus due to the presence of the parental clouds remnants. The third and most likely picture is a polarization caused by the presence of disks of dust and gas surrounding both objects. In this scenario, variability on scales of days to months is expected as a consequence of the rotation of a inhomogeneous disk around

the central object and/or a rotating spotted central source illuminating the disk (e.g., Ménard et al. 2003). However, Pettersen & Hsu (1981) found that the polarimetric measurements of two spotted flare stars showed no variability in polarization when starspot groups move across the stellar disk with rotation. This leaves the disk scenario as the most plausible explanation. It might be also feasible that the polarimetric signal is originated by the scattering of light due to dusty clouds intrinsic to the brown dwarfs. We note that the linear polarimetry degrees of CFHT-BD-Tau 4 and KPNO-Tau 4 do not differ significantly from those found in field ultra-cool dwarfs (see next Section) despite having primordial surrounding disks.

6 YOUNG FIELD DWARFS

The remaining targets have age estimations in the range ~ 10 –500 Myr, except for J0033–1521, J0241–0326, and J1552+2948, which are thought to be older than 500 Myr (see Section 1 and Table 1). All are nearby (≤ 50 pc), polarization due to the interstellar extinction is thus supposed to be unimportant and we made no attempt to correct for

it in our data. In the following, we explored the linear polarimetric properties of the field sample as a function of the different observing time scales, wavelength, and age.

6.1 Polarization versus time

6.1.1 Short-term polarimetric variability (rotation)

Four sources (J0032–4405, J0241–0326, J2126–8140, and J2322–6151) were monitored in the *I*-band with a cadence of ~ 20 –46 min over continuous ~ 2.1 –7.5 h. J0241–0326 was also monitored in the *Z*-, *J*-, and *H*-filters for a total duration of ~ 2.2 h. In these time series, the number of polarimetric data points per target ranged from four to ten. These observations allowed us to study the polarimetric variability at short-time scales or with rotation. None of the four ultra-cool dwarfs has rotation periods or spectroscopic rotational velocities available in the literature. Nevertheless, we attempted to estimate the possible rotational periodicities of the sample by assuming a typical radius of $\leq 1.5 R_J$ for intermediate-gravity objects and $v \sin i \approx 10 - 90 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which are the values measured for field dwarfs of similar spectral type at both young (~ 1 –10 Myr; Mohanty et al. 2005) and mature ages (Reiners & Basri 2008; Blake et al. 2010). We found that the rotation periods of intermediate-age ultra-cool dwarfs could be in the interval $\sim 2 - 18$ h as seen in Pleiades and alpha Persei low mass members (Terndrup et al. 1999; Martin & Zapatero-Osorio 1997). Therefore, our time series of *I*-band polarimetric data likely covered a significant fraction of a rotation or one complete rotation per object.

The normalized Stokes q and u data of the *I*- and *J*-band time series are plotted in Figure 2, one ultra-cool dwarf per panel. We also plotted the average q and u values and computed their 99% confidence interval following Clarke & Stewart (1986), which was used as a test for the presence of polarimetric variability. From Figure 2, J0032–4405 and J2322–6151 show Stokes parameters consistent within $1\text{-}\sigma$ the quoted error bars and located very near the 99% confidence circle, indicating that no *I*-band linear polarimetric variability with an amplitude higher than ~ 0.1 –0.2% is detected in any of these two dwarfs. Despite having q and u uncertainties and a number of data points similar to J0032–4405 and J2322–6151 (Table 2), J0241–0326 and J2126–8140 display a larger dispersion in Figure 2. The former object has some *I*- and *J*-band measurements out of the 99% confidence circle in the $q - u$ planes, which might indicate some polarimetric variability of notorious amplitude.

Figure 3 depicts the p^* and Θ time series observations of J0241–0326 (*I*, *J*) and J2126–8140 (*I*). The angle Θ was not computed for those values with $\sigma_P \geq P$. The *I*-band degree of linear polarization of J2126–8140 decreases and increases with a peak-to-peak of $\sim 0.8\%$ in a time scale of about 2 h (left panel of Figure 3). The polarization vibration angle changes from 161 ± 9 deg to small values (2 ± 6 deg) within 2 h (or equivalently from -19 ± 9 to 2 ± 6 deg as also seen in Fig. 2). The middle and right panels of Figure 3 correspond to the *I*- and *J*-band data of J0241–0326. The two sets of observations are not simultaneous but taken ~ 1.1 yr apart. In the *I*-band, there is a swallow decrease of the linear polarization degree from $\sim 0.9\%$ to $\leq 0.24\%$

during ~ 1.5 h, and a sudden increase to $p^* \sim 1.4\%$ (with a significance of $\sim 4.7\text{-}\sigma$) that occurs in about 20 min. However, Θ remains nearly unchanged between 10° and 30° (i.e., typically within $1.5\text{-}\sigma$ the associated error bars). The *J*-band polarimetric light curve of J0241–0326 has a different (more stochastic) pattern, yet the measured *I*- and *J*-band linear polarization degrees are quite alike, a fact that agrees with the predictions by Sengupta & Marley (2010). The *J*-band polarization vibration angle shows a progressive change by about 88.6° in the interval of ~ 1 h.

By combining the ordinary and extraordinary rays of the FORS2 polarimetric images, we built the differential *I*-band intensity light curves for J0241–0326 and J2126–8140 using reference stars of brightness similar to our targets. There are no sufficient bright reference stars in the field of the *J*-band data of J0241–0326 to obtain this object’s near-infrared intensity light curve. The procedure to derive the intensity light curves from the polarimetric data is described in Miles-Páez et al. (2015). The resulting differential *I*-band light curves, shown in the top panels of Figure 3, have photometric dispersions of ± 16 mmag (J0241–0326) and ± 10 mmag (J2126–8140), which basically coincide with the estimated photometric error bars associated with the individual data points. This suggests that no obvious variability larger than a few tens of mmag is seen in the *I*-band emission of these two targets, which contrasts with the polarimetric curves. It may be possible that small changes in the atmospheric dust distribution/concentration have large impact on the linear polarization while remaining imperceptible (or below a few tens of mmag) in the intensity light curves.

J2208+2921 has a rotation period of 3.5 ± 0.2 h (Metchev et al. 2015) obtained from sinusoid-like Spitzer [3.6] and [4.5] light curves with broad amplitudes of ~ 69 and ~ 54 mmag, respectively, consistent with its young age. There is only one *J*-band linear polarimetric measurement for this L3 dwarf indicating a low level of light polarization. More polarimetric data combined with intensity light curves at various filters may provide new insights into the origin of the observed variability.

Under the scenario of linear polarization being caused by the presence of dusty atmospheric upper layers (both J0241–0326 and J2126–8140 have early-L spectral types and are relatively warm), the data of Figure 3 suggest that regardless of the shape of the sources there are structures in the atmospheres revolving with the dwarfs. Oblateness and a homogeneous dusty atmosphere produce a constant polarization throughout rotation; therefore, they alone cannot account for polarimetric changes on time scales of one rotating cycle. The atmospheric structures may be composed of increased concentrations of dust (“clouds”), or holes in a dusty atmosphere (where deeper and dust-free atmospheric layers can be seen).

It is now well established that ultra-cool dwarfs with late-M and early-L types can host strong magnetic fields (e.g., Berger 2006; McLean et al. 2012; Williams et al. 2014). They may cause linear polarization; however, it is expected to be small at optical and near-infrared wavelengths. Ménard et al. (2002) and Ménard & Delfosse (2004) studied the linear polarization properties of M dwarfs, some of which are known to have significant magnetic fields; yet, their polarization degrees are typically below $P \sim 0.2\%$ in the *I*-band. The L3.5 dwarf 2MASS J00361617+1821104 has an




Figure 2. I - and J -band Stokes $q-u$ planes for the four targets with polarimetric time series observations (J0032-4405, J2126-8140, J2322-6151, and J0241-0326). Individual measurements and their associated error bars are plotted as black symbols. The red triangle denotes the average q and u values, and the red dashed circle represents their 99% confidence interval following [Clarke & Stewart \(1986\)](#).

associated variable and periodic radio emission consistent with a large-scale magnetic field of about 175 G ([Berger 2006](#)). [Miles-Páez et al. \(2013\)](#) measured a linear polarization degree of $p^* = 0.20 \pm 0.11$ % in the J -band for this particular source, and discussed that the likely contribution of the magnetic field to polarization in the near-infrared is very small provided that gyro- and synchrotron processes are associated with the field.

6.1.2 Long-term polarimetric variability

According to the theory of ultra-cool dwarf atmospheres and the high rotation observationally inferred, the upper atmospheric layers are expected to be highly dynamical, including significant circulation at regional and global scales. Consequently, any inhomogeneous feature within the atmosphere may evolve significantly after a few to several rotations (e.g., [Freytag et al. 2010](#); [Showman & Kaspi 2013](#); [Crossfield et al. 2014](#)). This may induce polarimetric variability on long time scales. The field targets J0045+1634, J0241-0326, J1552+2948, and J1726+1538 were observed with the same instrumental configuration on different occasions separated by months to years. They allowed us to address the long-term polarimetric variability.

In our sample, J0241-0326 has the longest time coverage spanning 2.95 yr and the largest number of observations. This L0 dwarf was first reported to be linearly polarized ($p^* = 3.04 \pm 0.30\%$, $\Theta = 110 \pm 10$ deg, J -band) by [Zapatero Osorio et al. \(2011\)](#). These authors also used LIRIS and identical instrumental configuration. Therefore,

our and their data can be safely compared. J0241-0326 has been monitored on five different occasions since then (see Table 2). Figure 4 depicts all six J -band polarimetric photometry (p^* and Θ) available for the L0 dwarf as a function of observing time. For simplicity, the time series observations discussed in the previous Section were averaged (one data point per observing night) and the dispersion of the measurements associated with this epoch is shown as a down-looking triangle in Figure 4 to illustrate the amplitude of the short-term polarimetric light curves. The averaged p^* and Θ values taken on consecutive nights are consistent within $1-\sigma$ the uncertainty, suggesting that there is little polarimetric variability from night to night ($\Delta p^* \leq 0.25\%$), this is after a low to moderate number of rotations. This result agrees with the findings for high-gravity dwarfs of similar spectral classification by [Miles-Páez et al. \(2013\)](#). The linear polarimetric behavior of J0241-0326 has a different pattern on longer time scales (e.g., months): The changes in both the polarization intensity and vibration angle are significant with peak-to-peak variations as high as $\sim 3\%$. Interestingly, [López Martí & Zapatero Osorio \(2014\)](#) reported a peak-to-peak photometric variability of 44 ± 10 mmag in the long-term intensity of the K -band emission with a tentative periodicity of ~ 300 d. The changes in the polarimetric data of J0241-0326 agree with this time scale.

J0045+1634 has three J -band polarimetric measurements including the two reported here and the very first one ($p_J^* = 0.00 \pm 0.11$ %) obtained by [Miles-Páez et al. \(2013\)](#). All three data were collected with the same instrumental setup and in intervals of approximately 1 yr (the second and third measurements were taken 1.01 and 1.98 yr af-




Figure 3. Photometric linear polarimetry time series (p^* , Θ) of J2126-8140 (left) and J0241-0326 (middle, right). The intensity light curves are also shown for the optical data on the two top panels (left and middle). The unbiased degree and the vibration angle of the polarization are depicted on the middle and bottom panels, respectively. Zero times correspond to Julian Dates of 2456163.4953 (J2126-8140, left), 2456163.7062 (J0241-0326, *I*, middle), and 2456579.5487 (J0241-0326, *Z*, right). The symbols are plotted at the mid points of the polarimetric cycles, vertical error bars stand for the measurements uncertainties, and the horizontal errors account for the duration of the polarimetric cycles. Down arrows indicate $1\text{-}\sigma$ upper limits. Data compliant with $P/\sigma \geq 3$ are shown with encircled symbols. In the right panel, *Z*-, *J*-, and *H*-band photometry is plotted as triangles, dots, and squares, respectively. We caution that the error bars associated with Θ ($1 < P/\sigma_p < 3$) may be underestimated.




Figure 4. LIRIS *J*-band linear polarimetry of J0241-0326 on long-term scales. The polarization degree and the polarization vibration angle are shown on the top and bottom panels. The open circle stands for Zapatero Osorio et al. (2011) datum. Data corresponding to 2012 October 6-7 and 2013 October 12-13 are slightly shifted for clarity. Down-looking triangles represent $1\text{-}\sigma$ upper limits. The most recent measurement represents the amplitude of the time series data of Figure 2. Encircled symbols as in previous Figures.

ter the first observation). The *J*-band linear polarimetry of this L2 dwarf changes between $\leq 0.11\%$ and $0.36 \pm 0.12\%$, which indicates some variability at the $2\text{-}3\text{-}\sigma$ level. The case of J1552+2948 is similar to that of J0045+1634. Of the three *J*-band measurements available for J1552+2948 that are equally spaced in time and cover a total of 1.08 yr, only one has a significant degree of polarization with values ranging from $\leq 0.12\%$ to $0.45 \pm 0.15\%$. Blake et al. (2010) determined the spectroscopic rotational velocities of J0045+1634 and J1552+2948 to be $v \sin i = 32.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $18.9 \pm 0.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; and Zapatero Osorio et al. (2014) provided $T_{\text{eff}} \sim 1970, 2260 \text{ K}$ and gravities of $\log g = 4.5\text{-}4.66, \geq 5.13 [\text{cm s}^{-2}]$, respectively. Despite their likely differing surface gravities, the amplitude of the long-term polarimetric observations is similar for both the L0 and L2 dwarfs.

J1726+1538 was observed on two occasions separated by ~ 7.5 months. Both measurements show high levels of *J*-band linear polarization compatible at the $1\text{-}\sigma$ the quoted uncertainties. The vibration angle of the polarization differs notably between the two epochs, suggesting some polarimetric variability. López Martí & Zapatero Osorio (2014) reported no obvious photometric, long-term variability in the intensity of the *K*-band emission of J0045+1634, J1552+2948, and J1726+1538, with upper limits on the peak-to-peak variations of about 35 mmag. The short-term, non-variability nature of the intensity light curves of J1726+1538 was also confirmed by Metchev et al. (2015),




Figure 5. Unbiased degree of linear polarization as a function of age. For simplicity, each object is represented by one average degree of polarization with a vertical error bar that accounts for the spread of the polarimetric observations. The horizontal errors stand for the age intervals. Age lower limits are plotted as arrows. Objects with the same age are slightly shifted for clarity. The data of this paper are plotted as filled symbols, and data from the literature as open circles.

who reported upper limits of ~ 29 and ~ 49 mmag on the amplitudes of the *Spitzer* [3.6] and [4.5] time series data

J0355+1133 has *J*-band linear polarimetry data published in Zapatero Osorio et al. (2011), which were taken 3 yr prior to the present work. None of the measurements indicate strong polarization; however, the first epoch observation has an error bar about four times greater than the one quoted here.

From our data, we concluded that nearly all field, early-L dwarfs with polarimetric observations spread over long time scales display variable *J*-band linear polarimetry, even though they do not necessarily show significant long-term variability of the intensity light at other infrared wavelengths. This contrasts with the short-term *I*-band data, where only half of the sample appears to be variable in time scales typically within a rotation. The amplitudes of the short- and long-term variability of the linear polarimetry degree are alike. Dusty atmospheres with evolving structures on varying time scales provide a feasible explanation for these observations. Additional data with better time samplings would be required to study whether there are cycles of “atmospheric patterns” at all temporal scales.

6.2 Linear polarization versus age

Despite any possible linear polarization variability, it is worth studying whether there is a trend of the intensity of the linear polarization at near-infrared wavelengths with age. This might provide new insights on the amounts of dust in the atmospheres depending on the surface gravity.

Figure 5 depicts the unbiased *I*- and *J*-bands linear polarization degree as a function of age (according to Section 2) for all objects in our sample minus

the Taurus sources. Both filters are shown altogether because the polarimetric values are indistinguishable given the uncertainties. We also collected the *J*-band data of young dwarfs (G 196–3 B, J0045+1634, J0355+1133, and 2MASS J10224821+5825453) from Zapatero Osorio et al. (2011) and Miles-Páez et al. (2013) for completeness. For each dwarf, we plotted an averaged degree of polarization with associated error bars that account for the observed spread (including their individual uncertainties). The data of Figure 5 present a significant scatter ($p^* \approx 0$ –1%) from intermediate to old ages; there is no obvious pattern beyond the quoted errors. This is likely due to the limited number of data (small statistics) and to the observed polarimetric variability, which significantly contributes to the blurring of the Figure. Additionally, any error on the age determination can lead to inconclusive results. For example, the L dwarf with the largest variations in our target list, J0241–0326 (labeled in Figure 5), has a contradictory age according to different works (see Table 1). Based on its location in the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram and the lithium non-detection in its optical spectrum (suggesting lithium depletion), Zapatero Osorio et al. (2014) argued that J0241–0326 is likely older than a few hundred Myr, whereas Allers & Liu (2013) discussed that this L0 dwarf has a triangular-shaped *H*-band continuum (typical of low gravity atmospheres), and Gagné et al. (2014) considered it a likely member of the ~ 30 Myr Tucana-Horologium moving group.

Regardless of the location of J0241–0326 in Figure 5, the remaining polarimetric data do not delineate a clear trend with age. If any, the youngest dwarfs in the sample (J0032–4405, PSO J318, and J2208+2921) show small amounts of linear polarization. According to the theory (Allard et al. 2001; Barman et al. 2011a,b), low gravity dwarfs are expected to have dustier atmospheres than high gravity dwarfs. This implies that more multi-scattering processes occur in random planes of the atmospheres, cancelling their contribution to the polarization of the light and resulting in low degrees of linear polarization (Sengupta 2003). This might provide a feasible scenario for the small polarization degrees of the reddest (therefore, likely dustier) dwarfs in our sample (J0355+1133 and PSO J318–22). On the contrary, high gravity dwarfs may have less atmospheric dust producing single scattering events and high values of polarization. Unfortunately, our data are not sufficient to robustly confirm or discard this theoretical prediction.

Our *J*-band measurements of young ultra-cool dwarfs and the polarimetric data obtained for field, high-gravity, fast-rotating dwarfs of related classification (Miles-Páez et al. 2013) are depicted as a function of spectral type in Figure 6. The two data sets have similar polarimetric precisions (± 0.10 – 0.25 %) and were constructed using the same instrumental configuration; consequently, they can be compared. From Figure 6, the polarimetric indices of both samples show significant scatter and typically lie below $p^* \approx 2\%$. Based on current data, there are no apparent differences between the two sets that could be ascribed to divergent surface gravities. Polarimetric data obtained to a higher precision and a systematic monitoring to analyze the amplitude of the polarimetric variations would be required to search for any possible deviation.




Figure 6. *J*-band unbiased linear polarization degree as a function of late-M and L spectral types. Young dwarfs are plotted as filled black triangles, likely older dwarfs (≥ 0.5 Gyr) taken from Miles-Pérez et al. (2013) are shown with gray circles. Data from Zapatero Osorio et al. (2011) are depicted with white symbols. Detections at the level of $3\text{-}\sigma$ are encircled. Down arrows indicate $1\text{-}\sigma$ upper limits. The typical uncertainty in the spectral classification is half a subtype (not plotted for clarity). Objects with several polarimetric measurements are labeled and slightly shifted in spectral type.

6.3 Linear polarization versus wavelength

The degree of linear polarization has its maximum value at wavelengths near the typical size of the grains responsible for the light scattering (e.g., Sengupta & Krishan 2001). Therefore, a multiwavelength study of the linear polarimetric properties of ultra-cool dwarfs may provide critical insight onto the sizes of the atmospheric particles. Because of variability (see Section 6.1), low-resolution spectropolarimetry or simultaneous photometric observations or observations taken very close in time using different filters are demanded. In our study, the Taurus dwarf CFHT-BD-Tau 4, and the dwarfs J0241–0326 and J0045+1634 meet this requirement.

On 2014 October 2 we obtained the simultaneous *RIYJ* degrees of linear polarization of J0045+1634, thus covering from ~ 0.6 through $1.2\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. The measurements are depicted in Figure 7. The large error bars associated with the optical data prevented us from concluding on the wavelength dependency of these polarimetric observations. At the $1\text{-}\sigma$ level, the *Y*- and *J*-band data have compatible linear polarization degree and vibration angle. We also included CFHT-BD-Tau 4 (discussed in Section 5) in Figure 7.

We measured the linear polarization of J0241–0326 in three different bands (*Z*, *J*, and *H*) within a time interval of ~ 2 h on 2013 October 13. The *J*-band time series was presented in Figure 3 and discussed in Section 6.1. Figure 8 illustrates all consecutive *ZJH* polarimetric measures; it shows that the largest degree happens at the shortest wavelength ($\sim 1.03\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) and that the linear polarization intensity decreases towards long wavelengths. Even though the *J*-band data present variability, its maximum amplitude lies below the single *Z*-band measurement. Furthermore, the large *J*-




Figure 7. Linear polarimetry of J0045+1634 (black circles) and CFHT-BD-Tau 4 (blue triangles) as a function of wavelength (simultaneous data). Vertical bars stand for errors in the polarization degree (top panel) and the polarization vibration angle (bottom panel); horizontal bars account for the filter widths. Upper limits on polarization are indicated with an arrow. The $3\text{-}\sigma$ criterion compliant data have encircled symbols.

band polarization degree found by Zapatero Osorio et al. (2011), also plotted in Figure 8 for a proper comparison, is surpassed by the *Z*-band data. Showman & Kaspi (2013) predicted that the dust clouds of ultra-cool dwarfs could be mainly built up by particles smaller than $1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. More recently, Hiranaka et al. (2016) assumed the existence of a “dust haze” of small particles in the upper atmospheres of red L dwarfs, and found that sub-micron-range silicate grains with mean effective radius between 0.15 and $0.40\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ can reproduce the observed spectral energy distributions of red L dwarfs. It is thus expected that the largest values of p^* occur at wavelengths shorter than about $1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. The trend delineated by our simultaneous *ZJH* polarimetric observations of J0241–0326 supports Hiranaka et al. (2016) finding for this particular source. The *I*-band data of J0241–0326 (also shown in Figure 8) were not simultaneous with the *ZJH* measurements. Yet, the *I*-band polarimetric amplitude is similar to that of the *J* filter and smaller than the *Z*-band, which might indicate that the linear polarization is maximum at around $1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ in J0241–0326. Further data are required for a robust confirmation.

7 CONCLUSIONS

We used FORS2 on the Very Large Telescope, ALFOSC on the Nordic Optical telescope, and LIRIS on the William Herschel telescope to measure optical (*RIZ*) and near-infrared (*YJHK_s*) broadband linear polarization in a sample of 12 M7–L7 field low-gravity dwarfs and two Taurus brown dwarfs. All have likely ages ranging from ≈ 1 through ≈ 500 Myr and show spectroscopic signatures of low- and intermediate-gravity and have very red colors, which are thought to be produced by dusty atmospheres (field young




Figure 8. Linear polarimetry of J0241–0326 as a function of wavelength. The Z-, J-, and H-band data (black symbols) were taken consecutively within a time interval of 2 h. Other non-simultaneous observations are shown with white and gray symbols. Error bars as in Figure 7. The 3- σ criterion compliant data have encircled symbols.

dwarfs) or disks (Taurus dwarfs). This provides an scenario where we can investigate the linear polarization signal.

We achieved linear polarization accuracies of $\sigma_P = \pm 0.1 - 0.9\%$ depending on the observing filter and brightness of the targets. The two Taurus brown dwarfs appear to be polarized with degrees of $0.4 - 0.9\%$ in the J-band. The origin of the observed polarization may likely reside in the light scattering within the disks, which are known to exist around both objects. For one of the Taurus brown dwarfs, we collected quasi-simultaneous Y- and J-band linear polarimetric photometry, finding similar polarization degrees and angles within $1-\sigma$ for the two wavelengths. As for the field dwarfs in our sample, the degrees of linear polarization were typically measured in the interval $\approx 0 - 1.5\%$, finding that the polarization intensity is similar at both the I- and J-bands, which agrees with the theoretical predictions for early L dwarfs.

One object, J0241–0326, displays a strong polarization at the Z-band and is the most variable object in the sample with polarimetric variability at short and long time scales. Other field dwarfs also show variability in their polarimetric properties indicative of atmospheric patterns that change with rotation and at scales significantly longer than rotation.

The comparison of the sample of young field dwarfs with the sample of high-gravity dwarfs from the literature yields that both sets statistically have no obvious difference in their polarimetric intensities, suggesting that the impact of age (atmospheric pressure or gravity) is not detectable at the present level of accuracy of our data. Similarly, there is no clear trend of the linear polarimetry degree with the spectral type in any of the samples. More accurate measurements would be required to study whether such differences and trends may exist.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Based on observations made with the The William Herschel (WHT) and Nordic Optical Telescopes (NOT), which are operated by the Isaac Newton Group and the Nordic Optical Telescope Scientific Association, respectively, at the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias in the island of La Palma, Spain. This work has also used observations carried out using the Very Large Telescope at the ESO Paranal Observatory under Program ID: 089.C-0318(A). This research has made use of NASA’s Astrophysics Data System and the SIMBAD database, this last one being operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France. Also, this publication makes use of data products from the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer, which is a joint project of the University of California, Los Angeles, and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. This work is partly financed by the Spanish Ministry of Economics and Competitiveness through projects AYA2010-21308-C03-02 and AYA2014-54348-C3-2-R, and ESP2013-48391-C4-2-R and ESP2014-57495-C2-1-R.

REFERENCES

- Allard F., Hauschildt P. H., Alexander D. R., Tamanai A., Schweitzer A., 2001, *ApJ*, **556**, 357
- Allers K. N., Liu M. C., 2013, *ApJ*, **772**, 79
- Allers K. N., et al., 2009, *ApJ*, **697**, 824
- Allers K. N., Liu M. C., Dupuy T. J., Cushing M. C., 2010, *ApJ*, **715**, 561
- Alves F. O., Acosta-Pulido J. A., Girart J. M., Franco G. A. P., López R., 2011, *AJ*, **142**, 33
- Appenzeller I., et al., 1998, *The Messenger*, **94**, 1
- Baraffe I., Chabrier G., Barman T. S., Allard F., Hauschildt P. H., 2003, *A&A*, **402**, 701
- Barman T. S., Macintosh B., Konopacky Q. M., Marois C., 2011a, *ApJ*, **733**, 65
- Barman T. S., Macintosh B., Konopacky Q. M., Marois C., 2011b, *ApJ*, **735**, L39
- Berger E., 2006, *ApJ*, **648**, 629
- Blake C. H., Charbonneau D., White R. J., 2010, *ApJ*, **723**, 684
- Bonnefoy M., Chauvin G., Lagrange A.-M., Rojo P., Allard F., Pinte C., Dumas C., Homeier D., 2014, *A&A*, **562**, A127
- Briceño C., Luhman K. L., Hartmann L., Stauffer J. R., Kirkpatrick J. D., 2002, *ApJ*, **580**, 317
- Canty J. I., Lucas P. W., Roche P. F., Pinfield D. J., 2013, *MNRAS*, **435**, 2650
- Chabrier G., Baraffe I., Allard F., Hauschildt P., 2000, *ApJ*, **542**, 464
- Clarke D., Stewart B. G., 1986, *Vistas in Astronomy*, **29**, 27
- Crossfield I. J. M., et al., 2014, *Nature*, **505**, 654
- Cruz K. L., Kirkpatrick J. D., Burgasser A. J., 2009, *AJ*, **137**, 3345
- Cutri R. M., et al. 2013, *VizieR Online Data Catalog*, **2328**
- Dougados C., et al., 2001, *Bulletin d’information du telescope Canada-France-Hawaii*, **43**, 12
- Dupuy T. J., Liu M. C., 2012, *ApJS*, **201**, 19
- Faherty J. K., et al., 2012, *ApJ*, **752**, 56
- Fossati L., Bagnulo S., Mason E., Landi Degl’Innocenti E., 2007, in Sterken C., ed., *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 364, The Future of Photometric, Spectrophotometric and Polarimetric Standardization*. p. 503
- Freytag B., Allard F., Ludwig H.-G., Homeier D., Steffen M., 2010, *A&A*, **513**, A19

Gagné J., Lafrenière D., Doyon R., Malo L., Artigau É., 2014, *ApJ*, **783**, 121

Gizis J. E., Reid I. N., Knapp G. R., Liebert J., Kirkpatrick J. D., Koerner D. W., Burgasser A. J., 2003, *AJ*, **125**, 3302

Goldman B., et al., 1999, *A&A*, **351**, L5

Goldman B., Pitann J., Zapatero Osorio M. R., Bailer-Jones C. A. L., Béjar V. J. S., Caballero J. A., Henning T., 2009, *A&A*, **502**, 929

Guieu S., et al., 2007, *A&A*, **465**, 855

Hiranaka K., Cruz K. L., Douglas S. T., Marley M. S., Baldassare V. F., 2016, *ApJ*, **830**, 96

Jayawardhana R., Mohanty S., Basri G., 2003, *ApJ*, **592**, 282

Kenyon S. J., Dobrzycka D., Hartmann L., 1994, *AJ*, **108**, 1872

Kirkpatrick J. D., et al., 1999, *ApJ*, **519**, 802

Leroy J. L., 1993, *A&A*, **274**, 203

Liu M. C., et al., 2013, *ApJ*, **777**, L20

López Martí B., Zapatero Osorio M. R., 2014, *A&A*, **568**, A87

Lucas P. W., Roche P. F., Allard F., Hauschildt P. H., 2001, *MNRAS*, **326**, 695

Luhman K. L., Allen P. R., Espaillat C., Hartmann L., Calvet N., 2010, *ApJS*, **186**, 111

Manchado A., et al., 2004, in Moorwood A. F. M., Iye M., eds, *Proc. SPIE Vol. 5492, Ground-based Instrumentation for Astronomy*. pp 1094–1104, doi:10.1117/12.549188

Marley M. S., Sengupta S., 2011, *MNRAS*, **417**, 2874

Martin E. L., Zapatero-Osorio M. R., 1997, *MNRAS*, **286**, L17

Martín E. L., Delfosse X., Basri G., Goldman B., Forveille T., Zapatero Osorio M. R., 1999, *AJ*, **118**, 2466

Martín E. L., Dougados C., Magnier E., Ménard F., Magazzù A., Cuillandre J.-C., Delfosse X., 2001, *ApJ*, **561**, L195

Mayne N. J., Harries T. J., Rowe J., Acreman D. M., 2012, *MNRAS*, **423**, 1775

McGovern M. R., Kirkpatrick J. D., McLean I. S., Burgasser A. J., Prato L., Lowrance P. J., 2004, *ApJ*, **600**, 1020

McLean M., Berger E., Reiniers A., 2012, *ApJ*, **746**, 23

Ménard F., Delfosse X., 2004, in Combes F., Barret D., Contini T., Meynadier F., Pagani L., eds, *SF2A-2004: Semaine de l’Astrophysique Française*. p. 305

Ménard F., Delfosse X., Monin J.-L., 2002, *A&A*, **396**, L35

Ménard F., Bouvier J., Dougados C., Mel’nikov S. Y., Grankin K. N., 2003, *A&A*, **409**, 163

Metchev S. A., et al., 2015, *ApJ*, **799**, 154

Miles-Pérez P. A., Zapatero Osorio M. R., Pallé E., Peña Ramírez K., 2013, *A&A*, **556**, A125

Miles-Pérez P. A., Zapatero Osorio M. R., Pallé E., 2015, *A&A*, **580**, L12

Mohanty S., Jayawardhana R., Basri G., 2005, *ApJ*, **626**, 498

Muzerolle J., Luhman K. L., Briceño C., Hartmann L., Calvet N., 2005, *ApJ*, **625**, 906

Oliva E., 1997, *A&AS*, **123**

Pettersen B. R., Hsu J.-C., 1981, *ApJ*, **247**, 1013

Rebolo R., Martin E. L., Magazzù A., 1992, *ApJ*, **389**, L83

Reid I. N., Lewitus E., Allen P. R., Cruz K. L., Burgasser A. J., 2006, *AJ*, **132**, 891

Reiners A., Basri G., 2008, *ApJ*, **684**, 1390

Reiners A., Basri G., Christensen U. R., 2009, *ApJ*, **697**, 373

Schmidt G. D., Elston R., Lupie O. L., 1992, *AJ*, **104**, 1563

Scholz A., Jayawardhana R., Wood K., 2006, *ApJ*, **645**, 1498

Schweitzer A., Gizis J. E., Hauschildt P. H., Allard F., Reid I. N., 2001, *ApJ*, **555**, 368

Sengupta S., 2003, *ApJ*, **585**, L155

Sengupta S., Krishan V., 2001, *ApJ*, **561**, L123

Sengupta S., Marley M. S., 2010, *ApJ*, **722**, L142

Serkowski K., 1974, *Polarization techniques..* pp 361–414

Showman A. P., Kaspi Y., 2013, *ApJ*, **776**, 85

Simmons J. F. L., Stewart B. G., 1985, *A&A*, **142**, 100

Skrutskie M. F., et al., 2006, *AJ*, **131**, 1163

Tamburini F., Ortolani S., Bianchini A., 2002, *A&A*, **394**, 675

Tata R., Martín E. L., Sengupta S., Phan-Bao N., Zapatero Osorio M. R., Bouy H., 2009, *A&A*, **508**, 1423

Terndrup D. M., Krishnamurthi A., Pinsonneault M. H., Stauffer J. R., 1999, *AJ*, **118**, 1814

Wardle J. F. C., Kronberg P. P., 1974, *ApJ*, **194**, 249

Whittet D. C. B., Martin P. G., Hough J. H., Rouse M. F., Bailey J. A., Axon D. J., 1992, *ApJ*, **386**, 562

Wichmann R., Bastian U., Krautter J., Jankovics I., Rucinski S. M., 1998, *MNRAS*, **301**, 39L

Williams P. K. G., Cook B. A., Berger E., 2014, *ApJ*, **785**, 9

Wright E. L., et al., 2010, *AJ*, **140**, 1868

Zapatero Osorio M. R., Caballero J. A., Béjar V. J. S., 2005, *ApJ*, **621**, 445

Zapatero Osorio M. R., Martín E. L., Bouy H., Tata R., Deshpande R., Wainscoat R. J., 2006, *ApJ*, **647**, 1405

Zapatero Osorio M. R., Béjar V. J. S., Goldman B., Caballero J. A., Rebolo R., Acosta-Pulido J. A., Manchado A., Peña Ramírez K., 2011, *ApJ*, **740**, 4

Zapatero Osorio M. R., Béjar V. J. S., Miles-Pérez P. A., Peña Ramírez K., Rebolo R., Pallé E., 2014, *A&A*, **568**, A6

de Kok R. J., Stam D. M., Karalidi T., 2011, *ApJ*, **741**, 59

APPENDIX A: EXAMPLES OF Q AND U AS A FUNCTION OF APERTURE

In Figure A1 we plot some examples of the normalized Stokes parameters q and u as a function radii for some of our targets.

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.




Figure A1. Normalized Stokes parameters q and u as a function of the aperture radius (in FWHM unit) for some of our targets. The name of the target, filter, and MJD are indicated in the q panels. For this plot, the sky annulus is fixed at $6 \times \text{FWHM}$ and $1.5 \times \text{FWHM}$ in size. Vertical bars indicate the dispersion of the measurements at each aperture over all sky annuli (see Section 4); in most cases, these are smaller than the symbol size. We typically selected apertures in the range $2\text{--}4 \times \text{FWHM}$ to compute the mean Stokes parameters.